

Examiners' Report/
Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2014

Pearson Edexcel International GCSE
in English as a Second Language
(4ES0/03)
Paper 3: Speaking

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2014

Publications Code UG038680*

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2014

International GCSE English as a Second Language
Paper 3 Speaking
Examiner Report

This speaking examination is in three parts and lasts about 12 minutes. Each candidate is interviewed individually by an interlocutor. All of the interlocutor's questions are scripted. The speaking examination is recorded and assessed by an examiner.

The interlocutor may only select the topics for candidates once they are in the examination room.

In Part 1, the candidate is asked a set of questions on a familiar topic. This part of the examination lasts about 3 – 5 minutes. In this session, the topics were what the candidate does in his/her free time, reading, school holidays, and foods the candidate likes to eat.

In Part 2, the candidate is given a task card and speaks at length (about 2 minutes) on the topic on the card. The candidate must answer the specific question mentioned on the task card. The candidate has 1 minute to prepare what s/he is going to say. In this session, the topics were aspects of the candidate's culture; the relationship between people and animals; using the internet; and the world of work. .

In Part 3, the candidate discusses in more depth issues related to the topic used in Part 2. This part of the examination lasts about 3 – 5 minutes.

Generally candidates had been very well prepared for the test and knew what to expect. It is devised to become increasingly difficult and this proved to be so for less able candidates who struggled the most with Part 3. All candidates should be able to perform adequately in Part 1; Part 3 should be more challenging to C/D grade candidates; and Parts 2 and 3 should be challenging to F/G grade candidates.

Assessment Principles

The test is assessed positively out of 20 using the grid printed in the specification (page 17): Communicative ability and content 5 marks, Pronunciation and fluency 5 marks, Lexical accuracy and range 5 marks, and Grammatical accuracy and range 5 marks.

Candidates' responses

Generally, the candidates performed well on this paper. They responded well to the structure of the test and seemed engaged by the content. The topics were ones which were generally familiar to them and they could call on their life experience to answer the questions. Topics which were particularly well received by candidates, including the less able ones, were reading (Part 1) and the internet (Parts 2 and 3). Some candidates struggled in Part 2, Frame 1 (culture) with the word 'customs' and often

mistook it for 'costumes'. Interlocutors tended to select the topic of animals (Parts 2 and 3, Frame 2) less than the other topics, although candidates who were asked about this subject seemed to be engaged by it.

Part One

The aim of Part One is to ask candidates about familiar topics and most were able to answer questions with ease. However, there were candidates who were monosyllabic and some interlocutors did not ask the follow-up questions to help them extend their answers. These follow-up questions are an important feature of the paper and should be exploited whenever a candidate is less forthcoming. The purpose of the questions is to encourage the candidate to generate sufficient language on which to assess their ability based on the four criteria mentioned above. In consequence, the role of the interlocutor is to be aware of how responsive the candidate and help them to reply fully to all questions.

Interlocutors should also spend at least three minutes on this section. If the candidate answers all of the questions on one of the topics, a second topic should be selected.

This is the least demanding part of the examination and most candidates handled it well. In a small minority of instances candidates did not understand one or more questions.

Part Two

The aim of this section is to provide the candidate with an opportunity to speak at length on a topic for which they have been given 1 minute of preparation time. They are also required to answer a specific question. The best candidates were able to expand on the topic in detail, giving examples and explanations to support their ideas and opinions. Their performance was also well organised and logical indicating that they had used the preparation time effectively to organise their thoughts.

The task card contains prompts to guide the candidate. These are ideas which the candidate may or may not choose to use in their response. Less able candidates tended to use these prompts as a list of items to comment on.

Part Three

The aim of this part is to establish the upper reaches of the candidate's linguistic ability, it also becomes increasingly difficult. There are also follow-up questions to allow the candidate every opportunity to show the extent of their ability. Many candidates had been well-prepared and quite naturally gave their opinion and then provided more information by way of support. Less able candidates struggled with this part of the examination. Some misunderstood questions or asked for help in interpreting certain questions.

Interlocutors

The interlocutors play an important role in enabling the candidates to show their linguistic ability and although their performance is improving with each series, there are still problems which need to be highlighted.

Although most of the interlocutors conducted the examination appropriately, there were others who did not follow the instructions contained in 'Instructions for the Conduct of Examinations'. All of the wording and questions were provided either in the instructions or on the frame cards, yet some interlocutors did not keep to the questions both in Part 1 and in Part 3.

Those interlocutors who did not follow instructions did not appear to grasp the thinking behind the structure and purpose of each section of the test, and when they deviated from the script it made the task of the assessor more difficult. Indeed, it is felt that on occasion they disadvantaged candidates by asking closed questions thereby depriving them of an opportunity to extend their answers. Others asked particularly obscure questions which were very difficult for candidates to answer.

In Part 3, several interlocutors did not ask questions in groups as indicated on the frame card but selected questions somewhat haphazardly. The questions in Part 3 are grouped together thematically and aim to become increasingly difficult. This allows candidates to build on their ideas as this part of the test progresses. In Part 3, several questions had a follow-up question to encourage candidates to talk more. Some interlocutors failed to ask the second part of the question, and this prevented candidates from developing their ideas more fully and thereby displaying their ability to use more complex language. A number of interlocutors did not ask an adequate number of questions in Part 3; this also prevented candidates from displaying the full range of their language abilities. Some interlocutors asked only the first three questions on the card. This made assessment of more able candidates particularly difficult. Others asked only the last three questions on the card regardless of the level of the candidate. This made assessment of less able candidates particularly difficult.

Other observations concerning interlocutors

1. Some interlocutors needed to prepare more for the examination and have a clear idea of how the exam was organised and what the questions were before they started. In some instances, there were long pauses during the examination as interlocutors tried to work out what the next section entailed. This situation must be avoided as it is potentially unsettling for candidates.
2. There were instances where the interlocutor turned off the recording equipment during the test. This must not happen. The equipment must continue to record throughout the entire test, even during the preparation time for Part 2.
3. Candidates are to be recorded once only. The only exception to this requirement is when the recording is subsequently found to be faulty or of poor quality. Where candidates are recorded a second time, the

interlocutor must select frames different from the ones used in the first recording. A letter explaining the reasons why a second recording needed to be made must also be sent to the examination board.

4. At the start of the examination the interlocutor (not the candidate) must repeat the candidate's name and number for clarity.
5. The interlocutor must ensure that the correct name and number are stated for each candidate.
6. Some interlocutors invented their own questions and/or commented on candidates answers. In such instances, this was to the detriment of the candidate. Interlocutors are required to adhere strictly to the questions on the card, in the order in which they appear.
7. Some interlocutors used a limited number of frames and they are requested to use all the frames provided.
8. Some interlocutors used words of encouragement such as 'that's good' and 'that's very interesting'. These should be avoided as they may mislead the candidates about their performance.
9. There were instances where the interlocutor corrected the candidate's grammar and vocabulary. This is not appropriate in an examination and must be avoided.
10. Some interlocutors did not give candidates' time to formulate their response to a question or paraphrased the questions before they were asked to do so by the candidate. On occasion, interlocutors provided vocabulary or even ideas when candidates faltered, not allowing time for candidates to overcome any difficulty independently.
11. Some interlocutors gave more than a minute's preparation time. For reasons of fairness, all candidates should be given the same amount of preparation time.
12. Some interlocutors used timers during the test. Although this is to be encouraged particularly in Part 2, there were instances where a beeper was used to cut the candidate off in mid-sentence. Interlocutors should not interrupt candidates in this way as it can be off-putting. It is recommended that once 2 minutes has elapsed, interlocutors bring the section to an end at an appropriate point, for example, at the end of a sentence.
13. Interlocutors needed to listen to what the candidates were saying to avoid irrelevant or repetitive questions from being asked. Furthermore, there were instances in Part 2, where the interlocutor was heard writing or rustling papers as the candidate was speaking. This is clearly off-putting. Interlocutors are asked to pay full attention to the candidate during all parts of the examination.
14. Interlocutors needed to check whether a suitable recording of the candidate had been obtained before dispatching the tape for assessment. On occasion, blank discs, partial recordings or recordings at the wrong speed were sent for assessment.

Candidates

If a candidate is speaking quietly, the interlocutor should ask her/him to speak up.

Length of the test

Interlocutors are reminded that the test should last about 12 minutes. It would appear that interlocutors are spending less time on Part 1. This section should last about 3 minutes. They are requested to use additional frames if necessary in order to extend the length of this part of the test. Furthermore, they are reminded that Part 3 should last in the region of 5 minutes.

Administrative matters

1. Interlocutors are reminded to use the latest student cover sheet. They should complete one for each student and include the following:

- Centre Name
- Centre Number
- Candidate Name
- Date of test
- Name of interlocutor
- Details of the recording
- Frames used in the test

2. Interlocutors are not required to mark the candidate's performance.

3. This year, many of the recordings were received very late or even after the marking period. Centres are reminded that the Paper 3 (Speaking) examination must be conducted up to and including the date of the written examinations (Paper 1 and 2) and must be dispatched within 48 hours of completion of examining.

Equipment

Some of the recordings were of extremely poor quality and it was very difficult if not impossible for the examiner to hear what candidates were saying. This applies to both tape and disc recordings. Sometimes there was a lot of machine noise, particularly when the recording level was set too high. On occasion, the interlocutor was more audible than the candidate. This could be due to two factors: the position of the microphone and/or the volume of the recording. Interlocutors must ensure that good quality recordings are obtained of all candidates. It is recommended that the equipment, recording quality and level as well as positioning of microphone be checked carefully before the start of the examination to ensure that the best possible recording of the candidate is obtained.

Room

The room selected for the examination was not always a quiet one, and many distracting noises can be heard on the tapes such as traffic, vacuum cleaners, building work and people moving about outside. On occasion a room was selected which had such poor acoustics that it affected the quality of the recordings. It is requested that a quiet room with good acoustics be used for the examination. Candidates were also distracted by people moving in/out or around the exam room. This situation is always disadvantageous to the candidate and must be avoided.

Mobile Phones

Interlocutors should remind all candidates to switch off their mobile phones before entering the exam room. It is also requested that interlocutors do the same. In some instances, signals from mobile phones interfered with the recording and made assessment difficult. It is therefore in the interests of candidates for this advice to be followed.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx>

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE